

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: khopkins@newfoundlandpower.com

2018-07-26

Kelly Hopkins Corporate Counsel Newfoundland Power Inc. 55 Kenmount Road P.O. Box 8910 St. John's, NL A1B 3P6

Dear Ms. Hopkins:

Re: Newfoundland Power Inc. - 2019-2020 General Rate Application Requests for Information

Enclosed are Information Requests PUB-NP-001 to PUB-NP-071 regarding the above-noted application.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Board's Legal Counsel, Ms. Jacqui Glynn, by email, jglynn@pub.nl.ca or telephone (709) 726-6781.

Yours truly,

udo

Cheryl Blundon Board Secretary

CB/cj Enclosure

ecc <u>Newfoundland Power Inc.</u> Liam O'Brien, E-mail: lobrien@curtisdawe.com NP Regulatory, E-mail: regulatory@newfoundlandpower.com <u>Consumer Advocate</u> Dennis Browne, Q.C., E-mail: dbrowne@bfma-law.com Stephen Fitzgerald, E-mail: sfitzgerald@bfma-law.com Sarah Fitzgerald, E-mail: sarahfitzgerald@bfma-law.com Bernice Bailey, E-mail: bbailey@bfma-law.com <u>International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1620</u> Donald Murphy, E-mail: don@ibew1620.com Mark Murray, E-mail: mmurray@mwhslaw.com

1 IN THE MATTER OF

- 2 the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994,
- 3 SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the "*EPCA*")
- 4 and the *Public Utilities Act*, RSNL 1990,
- 5 Chapter P-47 (the "*Act*"), as amended; and
- 6
- 7 **<u>IN THE MATTER OF</u>** a general rate
- 8 application filed by Newfoundland Power Inc.
- 9 to establish customer electricity rates for 2019
- 10 and 2020.

PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

PUB-NP-001 to PUB-NP-071

Issued: July 26, 2018

1 2	2019-2020 General Rate Application, Company Evidence		
3 4 5	Section 1: Introduction		
5 6 7 8	PUB-NP-001	Page 1-4, lines 1-2: Are the causes of Newfoundland Power customer outages similar to the causes of the outages used to calculate the Canadian average?	
9 10 11 12 13	PUB-NP-002	Page 1-4, line 10: Please describe in detail the practices or initiatives Newfoundland Power relies on for sound cost management. In the response provide cost savings, if any, associated with each practice or initiative in the period 2016-2018F.	
13 14 15 16	PUB-NP-003	Page 1-4, line 10: Please explain the basis for the statement that Newfoundland Power demonstrates "sound cost management".	
10 17 18 19 20 21	PUB-NP-004	Page 1-5, lines 9-13: Please list each of Newfoundland Power's current collective agreements and provide the term of each, the annual wage adjustments for each agreement and any special monetary adjustments agreed to.	
22 23 24 25	PUB-NP-005	Please provide a comparison of Newfoundland Power's 2018 hourly wage rates with other Atlantic Canada utilities for Industrial Electricians, Power Line Technicians and any other classification where data is available.	
26 27 28 29	PUB-NP-006	Page 1-5, lines 9-13: Please provide for each group the average annual wage/salary increase given or forecast for union, non-union and executive employees for the period 2016-2020F.	
 30 31 32 33 	PUB-NP-007	Page 1-5, lines 11-12: Please provide the calculation or analysis to demonstrate Newfoundland Power's operating labour cost increase at a rate approximately 1.7% per year is lower than the labour rate inflation.	
34 35 36	PUB-NP-008	Page 1-5, lines 15-16: Please provide details of the increased labour costs related to the planning of the replacement of the customer service system.	
 37 38 39 40 	PUB-NP-009	Describe any organizational changes that have taken place since the last general rate application in 2016 and provide the most recent organizational chart for Newfoundland Power.	
40 41 42 43	PUB-NP-010	Please provide the details of all Newfoundland Power incentive or bonus plans including the eligible participants, the criteria for payment and the amounts paid in 2016-2018F, inclusive.	

incentive and bonus payments and explain the calculation of each annual

- PUB-NP-012
 Page 1-6, lines 10-22: Please describe in detail the extent to which Newfoundland Power has been involved in discussions with Newfoundland Hydro and/or Nalcor Energy and the Government of Newfoundland and Labrador regarding the consequences for its customers of the recovery of costs of the Muskrat Falls Project, including discussions, if any, on plans for rate mitigation.
- PUB-NP-013 Page 1-6, lines 18-20: Has Newfoundland Power performed any analysis on how it might address the pending rate increases related to the addition of the Muskrat Falls Project?
- 16 PUB-NP-014 Page 1-7, lines 20-24: In Order No. P.U. 18(2016) at page 19, lines 26-33 the 17 Board found that Newfoundland Power was an average risk utility. Please describe in detail how from the company's perspective risks have increased for 18 19 Newfoundland Power and its customers since 2016 associated with the 20 commissioning of the Muskrat Falls Project and the provincial economy so that it now would be considered to have above-average business risk. In the 21 22 response include how any increased risk since 2016 can be determined both 23 qualitatively and quantitatively.
- 26 Section 2: Customer Operations

1

2

3

11

15

24 25

27

31

35

39

PUB-NP-011

amount.

- PUB-NP-015 Page 2-7, lines 6-8: What are the estimated total savings each year for the period 2016 to 2018F from use of ebills? In the response include labour costs and any specific expense or savings such as postage costs.
- PUB-NP-016
 Page 2-11, lines 10: Will Newfoundland Power complete the assessment of its customer service delivery function itself or have an outside consultant complete the assessment?
- PUB-NP-017 Page 2-11, Table 2-4: Are any costs related to the assessment of the Customer
 Service System replacement included in the 2019-2020 forecast revenue
 requirements.
- 40PUB-NP-018Pages 2-24 2-25: The data indicates that the average duration of outages has
been approximately half the Canadian average from 2008-2017 while the
average number of outages has been broadly consistent with the Canadian
average. What role does reliability performance in relation to Canadian peers
factor into Newfoundland Power's consideration of capital and operating
reliability projects? In the response include whether Newfoundland Power has

1 identified a target for reliability performance such as performance at the 2 Canadian average or top quartile. 3 4 **PUB-NP-019** Pages 2-22 - 2-25: Explain how Newfoundland Power weighs and/or balances 5 reliability improvement for customers versus the cost of providing an 6 improvement in reliability when considering operating and capital projects. 7 8 PUB-NP-020 Page 2-26, line 9 to page 2-27, line 3: Is Newfoundland Power reviewing its 9 design standards for wind in light of the number of significant events involving 10 wind speeds in excess of 100 km/hr in the period 2010-2017? 11 12 Page 2-31, lines 10-11: Does Newfoundland Power have plans to continue the **PUB-NP-021** 13 automation of the remaining feeders within the distribution system? Are the 14 remaining feeders grouped in specific geographic areas or are they generally 15 dispersed over the distribution system? 16 17 **PUB-NP-022** Page 2-30, lines 13-19: What are Newfoundland Power's current plans for 18 managing the replacement of journeyperson Power Line technicians and other 19 essential positions due to retirements? 20 21 **PUB-NP-023** Page 2-32, Table 2-7: Provide a re-stated Table 2-7 to show Gross Operating 22 Costs from 2015 to 2020F with inflation based on the CPI index only added for 23 each year subsequent to 2015. 24 25 PUB-NP-024 Page 2-35, lines 5-6: How are overtime costs factored into the forecast for 26 future base labour costs? 27 28 Page 2-37, Footnote 83: Provide the amount included in each of 2018, 2019 **PUB-NP-025** 29 and 2020 for each of the regulatory proceedings listed. 30 31 **PUB-NP-026** Page 2-38, Table 2-12: Provide the overall average salary forecast for each year 32 2018-2020, including any average bonus payments for Newfoundland Power's 33 employees. 34 35 **PUB-NP-027** Page 2-41, Table 2-14: Provide a brief explanation of the increase in 36 substations expenditures from \$12.8 million in 2018F to \$17.1 million in 37 2020F. 38 39 **PUB-NP-028** Page 2-41, Table 2-14: Provide a brief explanation of the increase in 40 transmission expenditures from \$7.2 million in 2018F to \$11 million in 2020F. 41 42 43 **Section 3: Finance** 44 45 **PUB-NP-029** Page 3-4, Table 3-2: Explain what is included in "Provisioning Work" and "Miscellaneous". 46

1 2 3 4	PUB-NP-030	Page 3-5, Table 3-3: What cost increase in purchases from Hydro has been assumed for 2018-2020 flowing from Hydro's current general rate application proceeding?
4 5 6 7 8 9	PUB-NP-031	Page 3-32, lines 5-9: Please provide all capital and operating costs incurred from 2008-2015 that have arisen solely due to severe weather conditions. State what costs have not been recovered from customers and their impact on Newfoundland Power's financial position.
9 10 11 12 13	PUB-NP-032	Page 3-35, Table 3-16: Re-state table to show the credit metrics if the proposals for 2019 and 2020 were based on a return on equity of 8.75%, 9.00% and 9.25% in addition to the proposed 9.5%.
14 15 16 17	PUB-NP-033	Provide the reduction in the proposed 2019 and 2020 revenue requirements and the impact on customer rates if the return on equity is set at 8.75%, 9.0% and 9.25% with no other change from the proposals in the Application.
17 18 19 20 21 22	PUB-NP-034	Provide information on Newfoundland Power's financial position at 1% reduced intervals in the equity component at ROE's of 9.5%, 9.25%, 9.00% and 8.75% in the same format as in Undertaking U-4 in the Newfoundland Power's 2016/2017 General Rate Application.
23 24 25	PUB-NP-035	List all return on equity established by a Canadian regulator in a decision since 2016 and provide references to the decision establishing the return on equity.
26 27 28	PUB-NP-036	Have any Canadian utilities changed their capital structure since 2016? If yes, provide details of the changes.
29 30 31 32 33	PUB-NP-037	Page 3.38, lines 4-11: Please confirm that it is Newfoundland Power's position that the current state of the financial market, specifically the abnormally low long Canada bond yield, is the only reason for the continued suspension of the automatic adjustment formula.
34 35 36 37	PUB-NP-038	Page 3-38, lines 4-10: Has Newfoundland Power considered any other alternative, other than continued suspension, to the current automatic adjustment formula to adjust the return on equity between rate applications?
38 39 40 41	PUB-NP-039	Page 3-38, Footnote 89: What has been the effect on the return on equity for the Ontario utilities as a result of the Ontario Energy Board leaving the automatic adjustment formula in place?
41 42 43	PUB-NP-040	Exhibit 2: Why are Computing Equipment costs increasing from \$1.45 million in 2017 to \$2.1 million in 2020F?

in 2017 to \$2.1 million in 2020F?

1 Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2018-2020 2 3 **PUB-NP-041** Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2018-2020, Schedule A, page 2, footnote 10: 4 Provide the justification for each position in the forecast overall increase in 5 FTEs of 8.4. 6 7 **PUB-NP-042** Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2018-2020 Schedule B, page 2, footnote10. 8 Provide the justification for each position in the forecast overall increase in 9 FTEs of 5.5. 10 11 **PUB-NP-043** Volume 2, Labour Forecast 2018-2020, Schedule C, page 2, footnote 10: 12 Provide the justification for each position in the forecast increase in FTEs of 13 3.5 in 2020. 14 15 16 Volume 2, 2018 Rules and Regulations Review 17 18 **PUB-NP-044** Please explain why Newfoundland Power believes that a policy which allows 19 refusal of service in all circumstances where a co-occupant has a balance 20 owing is fair. 21 22 **PUB-NP-045** Does the co-occupant policy allow Newfoundland Power to refuse to provide 23 service to a group of university students where one of them has a previous debt 24 associated with a previous tenancy with different people, or to elderly parents 25 of an adult who has arrears and has moved back in with his or her parents, or 26 where a customer takes in a family member or a friend who is a single mother 27 with arrears? 28 29 **PUB-NP-046** What are the pros and cons of qualifying the co-occupant policy so that it is 30 similar to the approach of some other utilities where service is refused only 31 when the individuals were co-occupants when the debt was accrued, or if the 32 co-occupant was listed on the account? 33 34 **PUB-NP-047** Are there any other approaches to co-occupants that may be considered and 35 what are the pros and cons; for example refusal only where there is evidence of 36 fraud, or requiring a deposit rather than refusing service? 37 38 **PUB-NP-048** How much money does Newfoundland Power recover through the co-occupant 39 rule on an annual basis and how many times a year on average is this rule 40 exercised? 41 42 **PUB-NP-049** Provide the number of applicants that have been denied service each year in the period 2013 to 2017 due to the co-applicant being in arrears. 43

- 1 **PUB-NP-050** Provide the guidelines or policies on co-applicants that are used by customer 2 service staff in dealing with refusal to provide service when a co-occupant is in 3 arrears. 4 5 **PUB-NP-051** Five of the utilities surveyed will transfer service to the landlord when the 6 tenant has arrears. How do these utilities deal with the arrears and handle these 7 situations? What is the rationale for Newfoundland Power not following a 8 similar practice? 9 10 **PUB-NP-052** Newfoundland Power stated in relation to the policy of refusing to transfer service to a landlord until payment is made or the tenant has vacated the 11 12 property: 13 14 This practice limits a customer's ability to continue accruing arrears and 15 ensures all customers are required to pay for the service they receive. 16 17 If the service is transferred to the landlord, wouldn't this discontinue the tenant 18 accruing arrears as the landlord is then responsible? 19 20 **PUB-NP-053** Does the policy of refusing to transfer service to a landlord until payment is 21 made or the tenant has vacated the property permit Newfoundland Power to 22 refuse to transfer even where the landlord has taken action to remove the tenant 23 but the tenant has not yet moved out? 24 25 **PUB-NP-054** Does the new Revised Tenancies Act have any implications for Newfoundland 26 Power's policies with respect to landlords and tenants? If so, please identify the 27 sections of the Act that have implications. 28 29 30 Volume 2 – Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne 31 32 **PUB-NP-055** In Order No. P.U. 13(2013), page 31, lines 13-16 and Order No. P.U. 18(2016), page 39, lines 14-25 the Board expressed concern on the assumption of 33 34 constant growth in perpetuity and no offsetting adjustment for analysts' bias in 35 the Constant Growth DCF method used by Mr. Coyne to estimate a fair return 36 for Newfoundland Power. Mr. Coyne addressed the concern on analysts' bias 37 on page 29 and referred to various factors which, in his opinion, demonstrate 38 that projected analyst growth rates are reasonable. What changes have occurred 39 since the Board's decision in 2016 that would lead the Board in 2018 to reach a 40 different conclusion on the issue of analysts' bias in the Constant Growth DCF
- 41 method?

42

43 PUB-NP-056 Has there been a decision by a Canadian regulator that considered the use of
44 the Constant Growth DCF method in determining a fair return for a utility since
45 Order No. P.U. 18(2016)? If yes, provide a copy of the decision.

- 1**PUB-NP-057**The Constant Growth DCF method results, shown in Figure 1, page 3, are the
highest of the methods used for all three proxy groups used by Mr. Coyne.3What conclusion, if any, can be drawn from the fact that the Constant Growth
DCF method produces the highest return including whether the results
demonstrate that the use of analysts' assumptions with no adjustment for bias
does always produce higher results than other methods?
- 8 **PUB-NP-058** In Order No. P.U. 13(2013) and Order No. P.U. 18(2016) the Board accepted 9 that there are differences in the U.S. and Canadian experience that require 10 adjustment to the DCF method results. Mr. Coyne, at page 33, line 14 to page 11 3 4, line 16, states no such adjustment is required. What changes, if any, have 12 board to exist in 2016 that demonstrate that the differences determined by the 13 board to exist in 2016 no longer exist?

7

14

- 15 **PUB-NP-059** In Order No. P.U. 13(2013), page 31, lines 13-16 and Order No. P.U. 18(2016), 16 page 39, lines 14-25 the Board decided a downward adjustment of 50 to 100 basis points should be made to the DCF method to account for differences in 17 U.S. and Canadian experience. On page 33, lines 16-20 and on page 34, line 18 19 12-13 of his report, Mr. Coyne states that an adjustment in the U.S. results is 20 not required and states the results for the U.S. proxy groups are already below the Canadian proxy group. Figure 9 from Mr. Coyne's October 16, 2015 21 22 Report in Newfoundland Power's 2016-2017 General Rate Application shows 23 that DCF results were also higher for the Canadian proxy group than the U.S. one at that time. Why should the Board conclude now that the fact the DCF 24 25 results are higher for the Canadian proxy group than the U.S. group is a 26 relevant factor? 27
- 28 Page 33, line 19 to page 34, line 16: Mr. Coyne states that in his opinion the **PUB-NP-060** 29 U.S. electric utility proxy group is more comparable to Newfoundland Power 30 than the Canadian utility proxy group companies and this is a reason that no adjustment is required to account for differences in U.S. and Canadian 31 32 experience. Mr. Coyne expressed the same opinion is his October 16, 2015 report at page 25, lines 6-15 in Newfoundland Power's 2016-2017 General 33 34 Rate Application. Why should the Board conclude now when it did not in 2016 35 that the similarity of the U.S. proxy group to Newfoundland Power is a factor that supports a finding that no adjustment should be made to account for 36 differences in the U.S. and Canadian experience? 37 38
- PUB-NP-061 Have there been any regulatory decisions in Canada since Order No. P.U.
 18(2016) that have used unadjusted U.S. data in setting the fair return for a Canadian regulated utility? If yes, provide copies of the decision.
- 43 PUB-NP-062 In Order No. P.U. 18(2016) at page 38, lines 1-6 the Board accepted a forecast risk rate based on the two test years. Provide Mr. Coyne's Figures 16 and 17 with the risk free rate based on a two year, not a three year, forecast.

- PUB-NP-063
 Page 38: What weight, if any, does Mr. Coyne think that forecasts of market risk premiums from third parties should be given in determining the appropriate market risk premiums? If any consideration is appropriate what third party forecasts should be considered in Mr. Coyne's opinion?
- PUB-NP-064
 Page 39, lines 6-15: State what Mr. Coyne's "unadjusted" CAPM would be if
 he had made no adjustments to his CAPM analysis for current market
 conditions.
- 10**PUB-NP-065**Page 39, lines 6-15: State the impact of each adjustment made to the
calculation of the ROE using the CAPM model that was made to adjust for
current market conditions.

9

13

18

21

27

36

- 14**PUB-NP-066**Page 41, Figure 21: Re-state Figure 21 to include for each utility the allowed15ROE in 2016, the current allowed ROE, the date of the decision approving the16current allowed ROE and the date, if available, that the ROE is expected to be17reviewed by the regulator.
- 19**PUB-NP-067**Page 45, Figure 22: Re-state Figure 22 to include the date when the capital20structure was last reviewed and approved by the regulator.
- PUB-NP-068 Page 46, Figure 23: Explain why, in Mr. Coyne's opinion, the authorized equity ratio has been historically higher for U.S. utilities than Canadian investor owned utilities. In the response include whether the higher equity ratio reflects the existence of higher financial or business risks for the U.S. utility group than for Canadian utilities.
- 28 Page 57, lines 1-9: Mr. Covne has concluded that Newfoundland Power's **PUB-NP-069** 29 business risk is higher than it was in 2015 due to greater risks associated with 30 future higher electricity prices, reliability concerns associated with Muskrat Falls, and the forecast macroeconomic and demographic trends for the 31 32 provincial economy. Please explain the degree to which these risks have increased since 2015. In the response include Mr. Coyne's opinion on whether 33 34 the change in each risk since 2015 is minor or material and whether it is 35 possible to quantify the degree of change.
- 37 **PUB-NP-070** Page 63, lines 21-29: Mr. Coyne has concluded that Newfoundland Power has 38 above average business risk compared to other Canadian utilities. Mr. Covne 39 also concluded (page 57, lines 1-9) that Newfoundland Power business risk had 40 increased since 2015 due primarily to increased risk associated with the Muskrat Falls project and the forecast macroeconomic and demographic trends 41 for the provincial economy. In 2016 the Board determined that Newfoundland 42 Power had average business risk compared to other Canadian utilities. Are 43 44 there any risks since 2015 other than those cited above that increase 45 Newfoundland Power's business risk in comparison to other Canadian utilities?

Page 69, lines 1-21: Describe the conditions that would need to exist in Mr. 1 **PUB-NP-071** 2 Coyne's opinion before an automatic adjustment mechanism could be re-3 instituted for Newfoundland Power.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland this 26th day of July, 2018.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

Per <u>Audo</u> Cheryl Blandon

Board Secretary